

MRI Safety Governance in Contemporary Imaging Centers:

Integrating Electromagnetic Risk Management, Workforce Competency, and Technology-Enabled Safety Programs

Leo A. Jiwanmall, MBA, PMP®, MRSO®, R.T.(R)(MR)(CT)

Abstract

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has become one of the most important diagnostic technologies in modern medicine. Although MRI does not expose patients to ionizing radiation, it introduces unique operational, biological, and environmental risks associated with static magnetic fields, gradient magnetic fields, radiofrequency (RF) energy deposition, acoustic exposure, cryogenic systems, and interactions with implanted medical devices. As healthcare organizations adopt increasingly complex imaging infrastructures and expand access to MRI services, safety management must evolve beyond traditional compliance-focused approaches.

This white paper examines MRI safety through a systems-based governance framework that integrates electromagnetic risk management, workforce competency, human-factors engineering, organizational resilience, and imaging informatics. A narrative review methodology was used to evaluate major MRI safety standards, regulatory guidance, and healthcare safety literature. Findings indicate that successful MRI safety programs are characterized by multidisciplinary leadership, standardized workflows, robust implant-verification processes, and continuous organizational learning. The paper proposes a governance-based approach that positions MRI safety as an enterprise risk-management function rather than merely a technical responsibility of imaging personnel.

Keywords: Magnetic Resonance Imaging, MRI Safety, MRSO, MRMD, Patient Safety, Human Factors Engineering, SAR, Implant Safety, High Reliability Organizations, Imaging Informatics

Executive Summary

MRI is frequently described as a safe imaging modality because it does not utilize ionizing radiation. However, this characterization can obscure the reality that MRI environments contain some of the most powerful electromagnetic fields routinely encountered in healthcare. These fields create risks that differ fundamentally from those associated with radiography or computed tomography.

Modern MRI safety requires management of:

- Static magnetic field hazards
- Projectile risks
- RF-induced thermal injury
- Acoustic exposure

- Implant interactions
- Cryogenic emergencies
- Workflow failures
- Human-factor vulnerabilities

Historically, MRI safety programs focused on engineering controls and access restrictions. While these measures remain critical, contemporary evidence suggests that sustainable safety performance depends equally upon leadership, organizational culture, human-factors engineering, and continuous quality improvement.

This paper argues that the highest-performing MRI programs function as high-reliability systems that integrate technical safeguards with organizational resilience.

1. Introduction

Magnetic resonance imaging has transformed healthcare by providing exceptional soft-tissue visualization without exposing patients to ionizing radiation. Since its widespread adoption during the 1980s, MRI has become a cornerstone of neurological, musculoskeletal, cardiovascular, oncologic, and pediatric imaging.

The growth of MRI utilization has been accompanied by increasing operational complexity. Clinical facilities now manage higher patient volumes, more sophisticated pulse sequences, enterprise imaging platforms, and a rapidly expanding population of patients with implanted medical devices.

The MRI environment presents hazards absent from most clinical settings. These include magnetically induced projectile incidents, radiofrequency burns, physiologic stimulation from gradient magnetic fields, and cryogenic emergencies. Because these risks arise from interactions among technology, people, and organizational systems, MRI safety has evolved into a multidisciplinary field incorporating physics, engineering, medicine, healthcare operations, and safety science.

The American College of Radiology identifies MRI as a unique healthcare environment requiring structured safety programs, personnel training, defined access controls, and formal governance mechanisms. ACR Manual on MR Safety emphasizes that MRI safety depends upon coordinated management of patients, personnel, equipment, and facilities. [mriquestions.com], [acr.org]

This paper examines MRI safety through a systems-engineering lens and proposes an integrated framework for contemporary imaging organizations.

2. Methodology

Study Design

This white paper utilized a narrative literature review combined with systems-based operational analysis.

Evidence Sources

Primary sources included:

- ACR Manual on MR Safety (2024) [mriquestions.com], [acr.org]
- ACR Guidance Document on MR Safe Practices: 2013 [pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov], [onlinelibrary.wiley.com]
- ASTM F2503-26 [store.astm.org]
- FDA Guidance for Testing and Labeling Medical Devices in the MR Environment [fda.gov]
- IEC 60601-2-33 safety standards as referenced in MRI safety literature [diagnostic.adphys.com], [mriquestions.com], [[SAR Limits.com](https://www.sarlimits.com)]
- World Health Organization patient-safety resources [who.int], [who.int]
- Healthcare human-factors and high-reliability literature [psnet.ahrq.gov], [journals.plos.org], [ahrq.gov]

Analytical Framework

Evidence was evaluated within five domains:

1. Electromagnetic hazards
2. Human factors
3. Organizational governance
4. Implant management
5. Technology-enabled safety systems

The objective was not merely to summarize standards but to synthesize available evidence into an operational framework applicable to contemporary imaging organizations.

3. Electromagnetic Foundations of MRI Safety

MRI systems operate through the interaction of three fundamental electromagnetic fields.

Static Magnetic Field

The main magnetic field (B_0) remains active continuously, regardless of whether image acquisition is occurring. This field creates mechanical forces capable of accelerating ferromagnetic objects toward the scanner bore.

The severity of projectile incidents is well documented within MRI safety literature and remains one of the principal reasons for strict access controls. The ACR identifies ferromagnetic object screening as a foundational safety requirement. [\[mriquestions.com\]](http://mriquestions.com), [\[pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov\]](http://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)

Projectiles may include:

- Oxygen cylinders
- IV poles
- Wheelchairs
- Floor buffers
- Maintenance tools
- Personal items

Even relatively small objects can become dangerous when exposed to strong magnetic field gradients.

Gradient Magnetic Fields

Gradient systems rapidly alter magnetic field strength to encode spatial information for image reconstruction.

Potential effects include:

- Peripheral nerve stimulation
- Skeletal muscle stimulation
- Acoustic noise generation

International standards incorporate exposure limits intended to minimize physiologic stress while maintaining diagnostic imaging performance. [\[mriquestions.com\]](http://mriquestions.com), [\[SAR Limits...ers in MRI\]](#)

Radiofrequency Fields

Radiofrequency energy allows excitation of hydrogen nuclei but simultaneously deposits energy into tissue.

Excessive RF deposition may result in:

- Tissue heating
- Patient discomfort
- Thermal injury
- Device-associated heating

Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) serves as a key safety metric and forms the basis of multiple operating restrictions within IEC standards. [\[diagnostic...adphys.com\]](http://diagnostic.adphys.com), [\[SAR Limits...ers in MRI\]](#)

4. MRI Facility Design and Controlled Access

Safe MRI operations require environmental controls that prevent inadvertent exposure to electromagnetic hazards.

The ACR zoning model remains the most widely adopted framework for access management. It divides MRI facilities into progressively restricted areas extending from public access zones to the scanner room. [\[mriquestions.com\]](http://mriquestions.com), [\[pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov\]](http://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)

Key safety components include:

- Physical barriers
- Controlled entry points
- Ferromagnetic detection systems
- Warning signage
- Restricted equipment inventories

Original Analysis

Facility design serves as a passive safety intervention.

When environmental controls are effective, safety does not depend solely on individual vigilance. Instead, physical systems prevent unsafe behaviors before harm can occur.

This concept reflects a core principle of modern safety science: designing systems that anticipate human error rather than assuming perfect human performance.

5. Human Factors and MRI Safety

Human performance plays a central role in MRI safety.

Many MRI incidents are not caused by hardware failure. Instead, they emerge from interactions among:

- Workflow complexity
- Communication breakdowns
- Staffing limitations
- Fatigue
- Cognitive overload

AHRQ emphasizes that healthcare safety improves when organizations adopt human-factors engineering principles that optimize interactions between people, technology, and work environments. [\[ahrq.gov\]](http://ahrq.gov)

Examples of human-factor vulnerabilities include:

- Incomplete screening interviews
- Assumptions regarding implant compatibility
- Failure to verify documentation
- Workflow interruptions during critical decision making

Original Analysis

Perhaps the greatest weakness of many MRI programs is excessive dependence on expert memory.

A department may appear highly safe because experienced technologists routinely compensate for workflow weaknesses. However, systems dependent upon extraordinary individual performance are vulnerable during periods of turnover, high volume, or staff shortages.

Resilient safety systems rely upon standardized processes rather than individual heroics.

6. Governance and Leadership Structures

MRI safety is fundamentally a governance challenge.

Magnetic Resonance Safety Officer

The MRSO serves as the operational leader responsible for:

- Policy implementation
- Safety inspections
- Incident review
- Staff education
- Screening oversight

These functions are recognized within contemporary MRI safety guidance. [\[mriquestions.com\]](https://mriquestions.com/), [\[pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov\]](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/)

Magnetic Resonance Medical Director

The MRMD provides physician oversight and assumes responsibility for policy governance, clinical risk assessment, and management of non-standard scanning scenarios. [\[mriquestions.com\]](https://mriquestions.com/), [\[pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov\]](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/)

MRI Safety Committee

Original Contribution

Many institutions maintain MRI safety committees primarily for accreditation purposes.

A more effective model uses the committee as a proactive risk-management body responsible for:

- Near-miss review
- Policy refinement
- Safety metrics
- Trend analysis
- Cross-functional collaboration

This governance structure promotes organizational learning rather than reactive compliance.

7. Implant Safety in the Contemporary Era

The increasing prevalence of implanted medical devices represents one of the most significant operational challenges in MRI.

ASTM F2503 established standardized classifications for items entering MR environments:

- MR Safe
- MR Conditional
- MR Unsafe

These labels create a common framework for communicating MRI-related risks. [\[astm.org\]](https://www.astm.org), [\[store.astm.org\]](https://www.astm.org/store)

The FDA further provides guidance regarding testing and labeling methodologies used to evaluate device behavior in MRI environments. [\[fda.gov\]](https://www.fda.gov)

Recommended Verification Framework

A structured implant-review process should include:

Step 1

Identify the specific device.

Step 2

Verify manufacturer conditions.

Step 3

Evaluate field-strength limitations.

Step 4

Review SAR restrictions.

Step 5

Document decision-making.

Original Analysis

The greatest implant-related threat is often not an unknown implant but an incorrectly identified implant.

Because assumptions frequently contribute to medical error, organizations should prioritize independent verification whenever device documentation is incomplete.

8. Emergency Preparedness

MRI emergencies differ substantially from emergencies in other healthcare environments.

Quench Events

A superconducting magnet quench involves rapid loss of superconductivity and accelerated evaporation of cryogenic material.

Potential consequences include:

- Oxygen displacement
- Visibility reduction
- Positive room pressure
- Operational disruption

Emergency plans should define evacuation procedures and establish clear staff responsibilities. [\[mriquestions.com\]](http://mriquestions.com), [\[pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov\]](http://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)

Cardiac Arrest

Resuscitation activities should not occur within the magnet room.

Emergency responders and equipment must be protected from magnetic field hazards before advanced resuscitation begins. MRI safety guidance emphasizes rapid patient removal from hazardous zones before conventional emergency response interventions occur.

[\[mriquestions.com\]](http://mriquestions.com), [\[pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov\]](http://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)

9. Imaging Informatics and Digital Transformation

Digital technologies increasingly influence MRI safety management.

Traditional approaches relied primarily upon:

- Paper screening forms
- Manual documentation

- Decentralized policies

Modern imaging informatics platforms can support:

- Electronic screening workflows
- Implant databases
- Audit reporting
- Automated alerts
- Quality dashboards

Original Analysis

For large healthcare systems, enterprise imaging infrastructure may represent one of the most underutilized safety resources.

Centralized data collection allows organizations to identify recurring workflow failures and near misses before adverse outcomes occur.

This shifts MRI safety from reactive event management toward proactive risk surveillance.

10. High Reliability Organizations and MRI Safety

High Reliability Organizations (HROs) operate in hazardous environments while maintaining remarkably low rates of catastrophic failure.

Healthcare safety literature identifies five core HRO principles:

- Preoccupation with failure
- Reluctance to simplify
- Sensitivity to operations
- Commitment to resilience
- Deference to expertise [psnet.ahrq.gov], [journals.plos.org]

Application to MRI

MRI departments exhibit HRO characteristics when they:

- Analyze near misses
- Encourage reporting
- Continuously improve workflows
- Learn from adverse events
- Empower subject-matter experts

Original Analysis

MRI safety performance is often measured by incident frequency. However, absence of reported incidents does not guarantee system reliability.

Highly reliable organizations actively search for vulnerabilities before failures occur.

11. Future Directions

Several trends are likely to shape MRI safety over the next decade.

Higher Field Strengths

Ultra-high-field systems introduce challenges related to RF deposition, physiologic effects, and device interactions. [\[diagnostic...adphys.com\]](#), [\[SAR Limits...ers in MRI\]](#)

Artificial Intelligence

Potential future applications include:

- Implant identification
- Workflow optimization
- Safety auditing
- Predictive analytics

Workforce Sustainability

As experienced personnel retire, organizations will require stronger education frameworks and succession planning strategies.

Evidence Quality Assessment

Strong evidence supports:

- MRI zoning
- Implant labeling
- SAR management
- Controlled access systems
- Device-testing requirements

because these topics are supported by established standards, regulatory guidance, and professional consensus documents. [\[mriquestions.com\]](#), [\[store.astm.org\]](#), [\[fda.gov\]](#), [\[diagnostic...adphys.com\]](#)

Moderate evidence supports:

- Human-factors interventions
- HRO frameworks
- Organizational culture initiatives

because much of the supporting research originates from broader healthcare safety literature rather than MRI-specific investigations. [\[psnet.ahrq.gov\]](https://psnet.ahrq.gov/), [\[journals.plos.org\]](https://journals.plos.org/), [\[ahrq.gov\]](https://ahrq.gov/)

Emerging evidence supports:

- AI-assisted safety tools
- Predictive analytics
- Enterprise imaging safety optimization

and should be interpreted cautiously.

Limitations

This paper utilized a narrative review methodology rather than a formal systematic review or meta-analysis.

Several limitations should therefore be considered:

1. Literature selection was guided by expert judgment.
2. MRI safety literature relies heavily on consensus guidance and standards.
3. MRI-specific human-factors research remains limited.
4. Safety practices evolve alongside technology and regulation.
5. Organizational implementation strategies vary substantially among institutions.

Accordingly, recommendations should be adapted to local clinical, operational, and regulatory realities.

Conclusion

MRI safety has evolved beyond management of electromagnetic hazards alone. Contemporary imaging organizations operate within complex sociotechnical systems where patient outcomes depend upon interactions among personnel, technology, workflows, leadership structures, and organizational culture.

The evidence reviewed in this paper suggests that effective MRI safety programs share several defining characteristics: strong governance, multidisciplinary collaboration, standardized implant-management practices, continuous workforce development, and a commitment to organizational

learning. Technical safeguards remain essential, but sustainable safety performance increasingly depends upon high-reliability principles and systems-based thinking.

As MRI technology continues to advance, organizations that successfully integrate engineering controls, human-factors methodologies, and informatics-enabled oversight will be best positioned to protect patients, support staff, and maintain clinical excellence.

Disclaimer

This white paper is intended for educational and professional discussion purposes. MRI safety policies and clinical protocols should be developed in accordance with institutional policies, manufacturer guidance, regulatory requirements, and consultation with qualified MRI safety professionals, radiologists, physicists, and organizational leadership.

References

1. ACR Manual on MR Safety. American College of Radiology; 2024. [mriquestions.com], [acr.org]
2. Kanal E, Barkovich AJ, Bell C, et al. ACR Guidance Document on MR Safe Practices: 2013. *J Magn Reson Imaging*. 2013;37(3):501-530. DOI: 10.1002/jmri.24011. PubMed ID: 23345200. [pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov], [onlinelibrary.wiley.com]
3. ASTM F2503-26: Standard Practice for Marking Medical Devices and Other Items for Safety in the Magnetic Resonance Environment. ASTM International. [store.astm.org]
4. Testing and Labeling Medical Devices for Safety in the Magnetic Resonance Environment. U.S. Food and Drug Administration; 2023. [fda.gov]
5. IEC 60601-2-33 Medical Electrical Equipment for Magnetic Resonance Systems. International Electrotechnical Commission. [diagnostic.adphys.com], [mriquestions.com], [[SAR Limits...ers in MRI](#)]
6. Global Patient Safety Action Plan 2021–2030. World Health Organization. [who.int], [who.int]
7. Improving Healthcare Using Human Factors and Systems Engineering Approaches. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. [ahrq.gov]
8. Vogus T, Lee M, Mossburg S. High Reliability Organization Principles and Patient Safety. AHRQ PSNet. [psnet.ahrq.gov]
9. Morales MJG, Hilton P, Hong O, et al. High reliability organizations and healthcare safety outcomes on patients and staff: Scoping review. *PLOS Global Public Health*. 2026;6(4):e0006181. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pgph.0006181. [journals.plos.org]

